IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

GLENN H. OLAY,)
Plaintiff,	
v.) Civil Action No. 04-266 Erie
HENRY M. HEARNE,)
Plaintiff,	
v.) Civil Action No. 04-276 Erie
PAUL L. PLYLER,)
Plaintiff,	
v.) Civil Action No. 04-277 Erie
WILLIAM F. LUNDER,	·)
Plaintiff,	
v.) Civil Action No. 04-278 Erie
LYNN A. RHODES,	<u> </u>
Plaintiff,	
v.) Civil Action No. 04-279 Erie
TERRY K. ROCKWELL,)
Plaintiff,	
v.) Civil Action No. 04-280 Erie

CHARLES D. WILSON,)
Plaintiff,	,)
v.) Civ. No. 05-122 Erie
NOTION CONTROL INDUSTRIES)
MOTION CONTROL INDUSTRIES,)
DIVISION OF CARLISLE)
CORPORATION, RETIREMENT)
PLAN FOR BARGAINING UNIT)
EMPLOYEES OF MOTION CONTROL)
INDUSTRIES,	ĺ
DIVISION OF CARLISLE	Ś
CORPORATION	Ó
Defendants.))

ORDER

AND NOW, to-wit, this <u>17</u> day of May, 2007, for the reasons stated in the Opinion accompanying this Order, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED as follows:

- 1. Plaintiffs' Motions for Summary Judgment (Civ. No. 04-266E, doc.19; Civ. No. 04-276, doc. 16; Civ. No. 04-277E, doc. 15; 04-278E, doc. 15; Civ. No. 04-279E, doc. 15; Civ. No. 04-280E, doc. 15; Civ. No. 05-122E, doc. 13) be and hereby are GRANTED insofar as we found that Plaintiffs are excused from exhausting their administrative remedies. Plaintiffs' Motions are DENIED in all other respects.
- 2. Defendants' Motions for Summary Judgment (Civ. No. 04-266E, doc.16; Civ. No. 04-276, doc. 13; Civ. No. 04-277E, doc. 12; 04-278E, doc. 12; Civ. No. 04-279E, doc. 12; Civ. No. 04-280E, doc. 12; Civ. No. 05-122E, doc. 18) be and hereby are GRANTED in part and DENIED in part as follows:
 - **a.** Defendants' Motions are DENIED insofar as we found that Plaintiffs are excused from exhausting their administrative remedies;
 - **b.** Defendants' Motions are GRANTED insofar as we found that an arbitrary and capricious standard of review applies to Defendant's denials of claims and that Defendants' denials of benefits were not arbitrary and capricious.

3. Based on the plain language of the Plan Documents and Defendants' interpretation of those documents, Plaintiffs are not eligible to receive Disability Retirement benefits.
Therefore, Summary Judgment is hereby entered in favor of Defendants and against Plaintiffs.

Maurice B. Cohill, Jr.

Senior United States District Judge

cc: counsel of record